Enantioselective Synthesis of Quaternary 3‑Aminooxindoles via Organocatalytic Asymmetric Michael Addition of 3‑Monosubstituted 3‑Aminooxindoles to Nitroolefins

Bao-Dong Cui,^{†,§} Wen-Yong Han,^{†,§} Zhi-Jun Wu,[‡] Xiao-Mei Zhang,[†] and Wei-Cheng Yuan^{*,†}

† National Engineering Research Center of Chiral Drugs, Chengdu Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Acade[my](#page-6-0) of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China

‡ Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China

§ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

^S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [An enantiose](#page-5-0)lective synthesis of quaternary 3 aminooxindoles with 3-monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles as nucleophiles is first presented. A Michael addition reaction of 3-monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles to nitroolefins has been developed with a bifunctional thiourea-tertiary amine as a catalyst to afford a range of 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles bearing adjacent quaternary-tertiary centers in good results (up to 98% yield, >99:1 dr, and 92% ee). We also demonstrate the potential synthetic utility of this methodology by a transformation of the product into a spirocyclic oxindole compound.

xindoles are prominent scaffolds in natural products, $¹$ as</sup> well as providing valuable pharmaceutical lead compounds. Particularly, 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles ubiquito[u](#page-6-0)sly make up the core of many natural products and pharmaceuticals.¹ Among these, quaternary 3-aminooxindole frameworks are present in a large number of bioactive, naturally occurring alkal[o](#page-6-0)ids and medicinally relevant compounds (Figure 1). Hence numerous stereoselective processes for preparing various quaternary 3-aminooxindole derivatives have [be](#page-1-0)e[n](#page-6-0) developed. 3 The known methods for the construction of this type of fascinating frameworks include asymmetric addition to isatin imi[ne](#page-6-0)s, 4 amination of 3-monosubstituted oxindoles, 5 multicomponent reaction,⁶ hydroxyamination reaction,^{3a,7} and other strateg[ie](#page-6-0)s (Scheme 1).⁸ Despite these achievement[s,](#page-6-0) given the potential bioact[iv](#page-6-0)e and medicinal significanc[e of](#page-6-0) the enantiomerically pure quate[rn](#page-1-0)a[r](#page-6-0)y 3-aminooxindole compounds, it is still important and desirable to develop more effective and creative methods to access the compounds containing a quaternary 3-aminooxindole skeleton.

Indeed the potential clinical significance and the synthetic application of chiral 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles have led to a demand for the efficient asymmetric synthetic methods. Recently, a number of successful examples using various 3 monosubstituted oxindoles as nucleophiles reacting with diverse electrophiles to afford 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles have been reported.^{1,3} However, to the best of our knowledge, no examples with 3-monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles as nucleophiles for cat[aly](#page-6-0)tic asymmetric transformations were reported (Scheme 1). In this context, from a synthetic point of view, we envisioned that the reaction of 3-monosubstituted 3-amino-

oxindoles as nucleophiles with appropriate acceptors would be an alternatively direct approach to access the quaternary 3 aminooxindole compounds (Scheme 1). As a continuation of our studies on the construction of structurally diverse 3,3 disubstituted oxindoles, 9 herein, [we](#page-1-0) wish to report an unprecedented Michael addition reaction of 3-monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles to nit[ro](#page-6-0)olefins with bifunctional thioureatertiary amine organocatalysts (Scheme $2)^{10}$ This will complement a new strategy for the preparation of enantioenriched quaternary 3-aminooxindoles bearing [a](#page-1-0)d[jac](#page-6-0)ent quaternary-tertiary centers. Nevertheless, we also strongly believe that this study will open an opportunity for employing 3 monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles as competent nucleophiles for various catalytic asymmetric transformations.

The studies were initiated by evaluating the reaction between ethyl 1-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylcarbamate¹¹ 2a and β -nitrostyrene 3a using Takemoto's catalyst 1a (Figure 2) in toluene at 0 °C. The reaction proceeded smoothly [to](#page-6-0) afford the desired Michael adduct 4a in good yield with good diast[er](#page-2-0)eoselectivity and moderate enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 1). Under the analogous conditions, 1b or 1c was a little better than 1a for the reaction, as 4a could be obtained in ex[ce](#page-2-0)llent yield with good diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity, respectively (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). These data suggest that the pyrrolidine structure of the tertiary amine in the catalyst is superior to t[he](#page-2-0) dimethylamine structure for the reactivity and enantioselectivity. We then conducted the reaction in the presence of catalyst

Received: May 27, 2013 Published: August 12, 2013

Figure 1. Biologically active compounds based on the quaternary 3-aminooxindole frameworks.

1d containing a pyrrolidine structure and chiral DPEN scaffold, providing 4a in 97% yield with 87:13 dr and up to 81% ee, but with a prolonged reaction time (Table 1, entry 4). Further screening of the catalysts 1e−h were carried out under the analogue conditions as used in entry 1 ([Tab](#page-2-0)le 1, entries 5−8). The results revealed that catalysts 1e−h were inferior to 1d in every respect (Table 1, entries 5−8 vs 4). Then 1d was chosen for optimization studies. We further explored t[he](#page-2-0) effects of the nitrogen protecting [gr](#page-2-0)oup of the C3-position of oxindole. A similar yield and diastereoselectivity as in entry 4 could be achieved for 2b bearing the N-Boc group, but the corresponding 4b was obtained with only 70% ee (Table 1, entry 9). Meanwhile, similar results were observed for 2c bearing the N-Cbz group (Table 1, entry 10, for 4c, 95% yiel[d,](#page-2-0) 89:11 dr and 71% ee). Screening of the solvent identified dichloromethane to be the best s[ol](#page-2-0)vent for the reaction (Table 1, entry 12). Slight improvements in diastereo- and enantioselectivity accompanied the substrate ratio changes [fr](#page-2-0)om 1:1.2 to 1:1.5 regarding 2a:3a (Table 1, entry16 vs 12).

Figure 2. Chiral organocatalysts examined in this work.

Table 1. Representative Screening Results for the Michael Addition of 3-Monosubstituted 3-Aminooxindoles to Nitroolefins a

a Unless otherwise noted, reactions were carried out with 2a (0.1 mmol), 3a (0.12 mmol), and 1 (0.01 mmol) in solvent (1.0 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. Isolated yield. ^cDetermined by HPLC analysis. ^dMajor diastereoisomer determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^eThe ratio of 2a:3a was 1:1.5. f Reaction was conducted at 15 °C. g Reaction was conducted with 20 mol % 1d at −40 °C.

Finally, changes in temperature did not positively effect the results (Table 1, entries 17−18).

With optimal reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope was explored by the reactions of ethyl 1-methyl-2 oxoindolin-3-ylcarbamate 2a with various nitroolefins 3a−l (Table 2, entries 1−12). No obvious impact on efficiency as well as diastereo- and enantioselectivity was observed, regardless of the electronic nature, bulkiness, or position of the substituent in the phenyl ring of nitroolefins. Nevertheless, the sterically demanding nitroolefin 3j was also successfully employed in the reaction (Table 2, entry 10). In addition to

Table 2. Scope of 1d-Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael Addition of 3-Monosubstituted 3-Aminooxindoles 2 to Nitroolefins 3^a

entry	2	3	time(h)	4 /yield $(\%)^b$	dr^c	ee $(\%)^d$
1	2a	$R = Ph(3a)$	72	4a/98	95:5	88
$\overline{2}$	2a	$R = 2-MeOC6H4(3b)$	72	4d/98	$>99:1^e$	82
3	2a	$R = 3-MeOC6H4(3c)$	120	4e/97	85:15	79
4	2a	(3d) $R =$	120	4f/93	$95:5^e$	84
5	2a	$R = 2-CIC_6H_4$ (3e)	72	4g/97	87:13	69
6	2a	$R = 4-CIC_6H_4$ (3f)	72	4h/90	90:10	80
7	2a	$R = 2-BrC_6H_4(3g)$	72	4i/96	94:6	69
8	2a	$R = 4-BrC_6H_4$ (3h)	72	4j/98	88:12	79
9	2a	$R = 4 - FC6H4(3i)$	72	4k/96	91:9	83
10	2a	$R = 2$ -naphthyl $(3j)$	72	41/95	79:21	79
11	2a	$R = 2$ -thienyl (3k)	72	4m/98	92:8'	77 ^f
12	2a	$R =$ cyclohexyl $(3I)$	120	4n/30	$>99:1^e$	87
13	2d	$R = Ph(3a)$	72	40/91	86:14	92
14	2d	$R = 3-BrC_6H_4(3m)$	72	4p/94	85:15	75
15	2d	$R = 2$ -furyl $(3n)$	41	4q/95	93:7	80
16	2e	$R = Ph(3a)$	72	4r/97	94:6	88
17	2f	$R = Ph(3a)$	72	4s/96	$92:8^e$	84

 a Unless otherwise noted, reactions were carried out with 2 (0.1) mmol), 3 (0.15 mmol), and 1d (0.01 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. $^{\circ}$ Isolated yield. CDetermined by HPLC analysis. $^{\circ}$ Major diastereoisomer determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^eDetermined $\frac{1}{2}$ by $\frac{1}{2}$ H NMR. $\frac{1}{2}$ The dr and ee values of 4m could be readily improved to >99:1 dr and >99% ee by recrystallizing from ethanol.

aromatic groups, heterocyclic analogue 3k was used to acquire the corresponding quaternary 3-aminooxindole product with acceptable results (Table 2, entry 11). Under the same conditions, the aliphatic nitroolefin 3l gave the expected product 4n only in 30% yield with >99:1 dr and 87% ee (Table 2, entry 12). Afterward, further exploration of the substrate scope was focused on 3-monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles.¹¹ It was observed that the oxindole substrates bearing both an electron-donating (Table 2, entries 13−15) and electro[n](#page-6-0)withdrawing substituent (Table 2, entry 16) could effectively react with diversely nitroolefins. Ultimately, replacing the methyl moiety on the N-1 of 2a with an ethyl group had no significant detrimental effect on the reaction in every respect, the corresponding product 4s also could be obtained in 96% yield, 92:8 dr, and 84% ee (Table 2, entry 17).

In order to illustrate the synthetic utility of this methodology, we attempted to convert the product 4a into a spiro[tetrahydropyrimidin-one-3-oxindole] compound (Scheme 3). First, the nitro group of 4a was easily reduced to a primary amine by $NaBH₄$ with $NiCl₂$ as a Lewis acid. And then, the u[np](#page-3-0)urified compound 5 was directly subjected to a NaOH aqueous solution with ethanol as solvent, giving the spiro[tetrahydropyrimidin-one-3-oxindole] product 6 in 90% yield for two steps with 93:7 dr and 91% ee. Notably, the spirocyclic oxindole compound as 6 was previously not accessible via the asymmetric catalysis process. Consequently, our approach

Scheme 3. Transformation of 4a into Spiro[tetrahydropyrimidin-one-3-oxindole] Compound

should add a new aspect for the enantioselective synthesis of quaternary 3-aminooxindoles.

Additionally, we fortunately found that the dr and ee values of product 4m (Table 2, entry 11) could be readily improved to >99:1 dr and >99% ee by recrystallizing from ethanol. Based on this observation, sin[gle](#page-2-0) crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained from the enantiopure 4m. Consequently, the relative and absolute stereochemistry for the major diastereoisomer of 4m was unambiguously assigned as (C4R, C5R) by single crystal X-ray diffraction.¹² Other compounds in a series were assigned analogously.

According to the observed stereochemistry of th[e p](#page-6-0)roduct 4m and referring to the related dual activation model reports,¹³ a transition state involving simultaneous activation by chiral bifunctional thiourea-tertiary amine catalyst 1d for the Mich[ael](#page-6-0) addition process was proposed (Figure 3). It can be assumed

Figure 3. Proposed transition state for the Michael addition of 3 monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles to nitroolefins.

that nitroolefin was activated by the H-bonding to the thiourea moiety of the catalyst; meanwhile, deprotonation/enolization of 3-monosubstituted 3-aminooxindole was facilitated by the tertiary amine moiety of 1d. The si-face attack of enolate to the si-face of nitroolefin led to the formation of the desired Michael adduct $4m$ with an (R,R) -configuration bearing adjacent quaternary-tertiary centers.

In conclusion, we have developed a new method to synthesize optically active quaternary 3-aminooxindoles from 3-monosubstituted 3-aminooxindoles and nitroolefins by a bifunctional thiourea-tertiary amine-catalyzed Michael addition under mild conditions. A range of quaternary 3-aminooxindoles bearing adjacent quaternary-tertiary centers could be smoothly obtained in good yields (up to 98%) with high levels of diastereoselectivities (up to >99:1 dr) and enantioselectivities (up to 92% ee). The potential synthetic utility of the optically active Michael addition product was demonstrated by the transformation of one product into the spiro- [tetrahydropyrimidin-one-3-oxindole] compound. A plausible transition state model was also brought forward. Notably, this represents the first example about 3-monosubstituted 3 aminooxindoles serving as nucleophiles for the catalytic asymmetric transformation.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Procedure for the Synthesis of Ethyl 1-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylcarbamate (2a). To a solution of 3-amino-1-methylindolin-2-one hydrochloride (1.0 g, 5.0 mmol) in CHCl₃ (20 mL) was added Et_3N (1.1 mL, 7.5 mmol) at 0 °C under a N_2 atmosphere. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred for 15 min, and then ethyl carbonochloridate (0.55 mL, 5.5 mmol) was added slowly via syringe to the mixture. The resultant mixture was allowed to stir for 1 h and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to furnish product 2a as a white solid in 0.8 g. 68% yield; mp 165.8− 167.2 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.22 (s, 3H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 4.05−4.16 (m, 2H), 5.05 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02−7.07 (m, 1H), 7.24−7.36 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.4, 26.4, 53.8, 61.5, 108.2, 122.9, 124.3, 126.5, 129.2, 143.6, 156.5, 174.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{12}H_{14}N_2NaO_3$ [M + Na]⁺, 257.0897; found, 257.0899.

Ethyl 1,5-Dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylcarbamate (2d). The method for the synthesis of 2d was similar to that of 2a. Product 2d could be obtained in 0.45 g as a white solid from 3-amino-1,5 dimethylindolin-2-one hydrochloride (0.6 g, 2.83 mmol). 64% yield; mp 143.5−144.8 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.25 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 4.15 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 5.05 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.35 (d, $J = 5.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J $= 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.4, 21.0, 26.5, 53.8, 61.6, 108.0, 125.2, 126.5, 129.5, 132.6, 141.3, 156.6, 174.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}N_2NaO_3$ $[M + Na]^+,$, 271.1053; found, 271.1060.

Ethyl 5-Fluoro-1-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylcarbamate (2e). The method for the synthesis of 2e was similar to that of 2a. Product 2e could be obtained in 0.47 g as a white solid from 3-amino-5-fluoro-1-methylindolin-2-one hydrochloride (0.61 g, 2.83 mmol). 66% yield; mp 170.2−171.6 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.25 (s, 3H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 4.11−4.15 (m, 2H), 5.00 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 6.73−6.77 (m, 1H), 6.98−7.04 (m, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.4, 26.6, 54.0, 61.7, 108.8 (d, J $= 8.0$ Hz, 1C), 112.7 (d, J = 25.4 Hz, 1C), 115.5 (d, J = 23.5 Hz, 1C), 128.1 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1C), 139.6, 156.4, 159.4 (d, $J = 240.2$ Hz, 1C), 174.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{12}H_{13}FN_2NaO_3$ $[M + Na]^+,$, 275.0802; found, 275.0804.

Ethyl 1-Ethyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylcarbamate (2f). The method for the synthesis of 2f was similar to that of 2a. Product 2f could be obtained in 0.36 g as a white solid from 3-amino-1-ethylindolin-2-one hydrochloride (0.6 g, 2.83 mmol). 51% yield; mp 122.5−123.8 °C; ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.26–1.30 (m, 6H), 3.73–3.81 (m, 2H), 4.15 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (br s, 1H), 5.47 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.04-7.08 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 7.28-7.33 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 7.39 \text{ (d, J)}$ $= 6.9$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 12.4, 14.4, 34.9, 53.8, 61.4, 108.3, 122.6, 124.5, 126.7, 129.1, 142.7, 156.4, 173.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}N_2NaO_3$ [M + Na]⁺, 271.1053; found, 271.1052.

General Experimental Procedure for the Asymmetric Michael Addition Reaction between 3-Amino-3-Monosubstituted Oxindoles and Nitroolefins Catalyzed by 1d. A solution of 3-amino-3-monosubstituted oxindoles 2 (0.1 mmol), nitroolefins 3 (0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and catalyst 1d (5.4 mg, 10 mol %, 0.01 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) was stirred at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for specified time. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was directly purified by flash chromatography to furnish the corresponding products 4.

Ethyl ((R)-1-Methyl-3-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4a). White solid; 37.6 mg, yield 98%; 95:5 dr, 88% ee; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}^{20} = -6.3$ (c 0.35, EtOH); mp 203.4–205.1 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/ hexane = $30/70$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 6.9 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{major}} = 8.2 \text{ min}$); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.10 (s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 4.13 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (s, 2H), 6.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.79−6.81 (m, 2H), 6.97−7.05 (m, 5H), 7.35 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.4, 25.8, 47.9, 60.4, 63.2, 74.2, 107.8, 122.3, 122.5, 127.4, 127.7, 128.6, 128.9, 129.1, 133.2, 142.9, 154.7, 173.8; HRMS

(ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}N_3NaO_5$ [M + Na]⁺, 406.1373; found, 406.1384.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4d). White solid; 40.5 mg, yield 98%; >99:1 dr (determined by ¹H NMR of chiral compound), 82% ee; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +27.4$ (c 0.88, CHCl₃); mp 175.2–176.6 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 9.1 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 11.1 \text{ min}$); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.13 (s, 3H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.94 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (s, 1H), 5.00−5.04 (m, 2H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.83−6.91 (m, 3H), 6.94− 6.96 (br, 1H), 7.17–7.20 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 26.3, 39.4, 55.4, 61.3, 63.7, 74.3, 107.7, 111.0, 120.3, 121.7, 122.0, 124.8, 127.6, 129.5, 129.7, 143.3, 154.6, 156.8, 175.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{21}H_{23}N_3NaO_6 [M + Na]^+$, , 436.1479; found, 436.1491.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4e). White solid; 40.1 mg, yield 97%; 85:15 dr, 79% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20} = -13.9$ (c 1.03, CHCl₃); mp 129.7– 131.4 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, ethanol/hexane = 10/90, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 20.9$ min, $t_{\text{major}} = 16.3$ min); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 1.07−1.14 (m, 3H), 3.05 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.97−4.02 (m, 2H), 4.32 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (dd, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (br, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 6.54–6.60 (m, 2H), 6.68 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.01−7.08 (m, 2H), 7.17−7.22 (m, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 26.2, 48.7, 55.1, 61.6, 63.2, 74.5, 108.1, 114.2, 114.5, 120.7, 122.8, 124.2, 127.9, 129.1, 129.7, 134.4, 143.0, 159.2, 174.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{21}H_{23}N_3NaO_6 [M + Na]^+$, 436.1479; found, 436.1488.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-nitroethyl)-1 methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4f). White solid; 39.7 mg, yield 93%; 95:5 dr (determined by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR of chiral compound), 84% ee; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = -20.8 (c 1.05, CHCl₃); mp 187.6–189.1 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/hexane =30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: t_{minor} $= 8.8$ min, $t_{\text{major}} = 9.8$ min); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.14 (s, 3H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 3.94–4.01 (m, 2H), 4.26 $(d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 4.95 $(dd, J = 9.9 \text{ Hz}, 13.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.13 $(dd, J =$ 4.2 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (br, 1H), 5.85−5.87 (m, 2H), 6.45−6.47 (m, 2H), 6.54−6.62 (m, 2H), 7.03−7.08 (m, 1H), 7.19−7.24 (m, 1H), 7.44 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 26.3, 48.5, 61.6, 63.2, 74.8, 101.2, 107.9, 108.2. 108.9, 122.6, 123.0, 124.2, 126.4, 127.8, 129.7, 143.0, 147.4, 147.5, 154.7, 174.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{21}H_{21}N_3NaO_7 [M + Na]^+$, , 450.1272; found, 450.1270.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4g). White solid; 40.5 mg, yield 97%; 87:13 dr, 69% ee; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}^{20} = +24.8$ (c 1.15, CHCl₃); mp 156.3– 157.5 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i -PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 12.9 \text{ min}, t_{\text{major}} = 14.1 \text{ min}$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.15 (s, 3H), 3.13 (s, 3H), 3.99−4.06 (m, 2H), 5.04 (dd, J = 9.6 Hz, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dd, J = 3.3 Hz, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (dd, J = 3.3 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (br, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.96 – 7.16 (m, 6H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.2, 26.3, 43.2, 61.7, 63.5, 75.1, 107.5, 122.6, 124.6, 126.3, 127.2, 129.5, 129.7, 129.8, 129.9, 131.7, 135.2, 142.5, 154.7, 174.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}CIN_3NaO_5$ [M + Na]⁺, 440.0984; found, 440.0990.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4h). White solid; 37.6 mg, yield 90%; 90:10 dr, 80% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -30.9$ (c 1.13, CHCl₃); mp 148.0– 149.3 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i -PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: t_{minor} = 5.4 min, t_{major} = 6.2 min); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.05−1.14 (m, 3H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 3.99−4.06 (m, 2H), 4.40 (s, 1H), 5.13 (dd, J = 9.6 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (dd, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (br s, 1H), 6.52 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.08−7.21 (m, 2H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 14.2, 26.2, 48.1, 61.7, 63.3, 74.4, 108.2, 122.9, 124.0, 128.2, 129.8, 130.0, 131.5, 134.2, 142.7, 154.9, 173.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}CIN_3NaO_5 [M + Na]^+$, 440.0984; found, 440.0981.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(2-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4i). White solid; 44.4 mg, 96% yield; 94:6 dr, 69% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +37.4$ (c 1.35, CHCl₃); mp 188.7– 190.1 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i -PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 13.7 \text{ min}, t_{\text{major}} = 16.0 \text{ min}$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.06−1.15 (m, 3H), 3.14 $(s, 3H)$, 4.03 $(q, J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 5.01 $(dd, J = 9.6 \text{ Hz}, 14.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 5.18 (dd, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (dd, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (br s, 1H), 6.50 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.95−7.01 (m, 2H), 7.10− 7.17 (m, 3H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 26.3, 46.0, 61.7, 63.5, 75.3, 107.6, 122.6, 124.9, 126.5, 126.8, 127.0, 127.4, 129.7, 129.8, 133.3, 133.4, 142.5, 154.7, 174.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}BrN_3NaO_5 [M + Na]⁺, 484.0479$; found: 484.0485.

Ethyl $((R)-3-((R)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-dimeth$ yl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4j). White solid; 45.3 mg, yield 98%; 88:12 dr, 79% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20} = -32.9$ (c 1.13, CHCl₃); mp 150.2– 151.3 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i -PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 5.7 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{major}} = 6.6 \text{ min}$); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 1.05−1.14 (m, 3H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 4.03 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, J = 9.6 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (dd, J = 4.2 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (br s, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.03–7.08 (m, 1H), 7.16−7.25 (m, 3H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 14.2, 26.2, 48.2, 61.7, 63.3, 74.4, 108.3, 122.4, 123.1, 124.1, 127.9, 129.8, 130.1, 131.1, 132.1, 142.7, 154.9, 173.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}BrN_3NaO_5$ [M + Na]⁺ , 484.0479; found, 484.0472.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4k). White solid; 38.5 mg, yield 96%; 91:9 dr, 83% ee; $\lbrack a \rbrack_{\scriptstyle{\mathrm{D}}}^{20} = -30.8$ (c 0.90, CHCl₃); mp 168.1−169.3 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, *i*-PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 5.2 \text{ min}, t_{\text{major}} = 5.9 \text{ min}$); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 1.06−1.15 (m, 3H), 3.02 $(s, 3H)$, 4.03 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, J $= 9.6$ Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (dd, J = 4.2 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (br s, 1H), 6.50 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.73−6.78 (m, 2H), 6.87−6.92 (m, 2H), 7.03−7.08 (m, 1H), 7.15−7.20 (m, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 26.1, 48.1, 61.7, 63.5, 74.6, 108.2, 115.0 (d, J = 21.5 Hz, 1C), 123.1, 124.1, 128.0, 128.8 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1C), 129.7, 130.4 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1C), 142.7, 154.9, 162.3 (d, J = 246.6 Hz, 1C), 174.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $C_{20}H_{20}FN_3NaO_5$ $[M + Na]^+$, 424.1279; found, 424.1270.

Ethyl ((R)-1-Methyl-3-((R)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)-2-nitroethyl)- 2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4l). White solid; 41.2 mg, yield 95%; 79:21 dr, 79% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20} = +120.5$ (c 0.40, EtOH); mp 207.3– 208.6 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i -PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 8.4 \text{ min}, t_{\text{major}} = 10.6 \text{ min}$); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.12 (br s, 3H), 2.96 (s, 3H), 3.86 (br s, 2H), 5.44−5.60 (m, 3H), 6.37 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.48− 6.53 (m, 1H), 6.70−6.75 (m, 1H), 7.28−7.37 (m, 4H), 7.47−7.52 (m, 1H), 7.60−7.66 (m, 2H), 8.18 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.58 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.4, 25.9, 40.1, 60.5, 63.6, 75.8, 107.3, 121.6, 122.9, 123.4, 123.5, 124.2, 125.3, 125.7, 128.2, 128.4, 128.6, 130.5, 132.0, 132.9, 142.6, 154.7, 174.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{24}H_{23}N_3NaO_5$ [M + Na]⁺, 456.1530; found, 456.1532.

Ethyl ((R)-1-Methyl-3-((R)-2-nitro-1-(thiophen-2-yl)ethyl)-2 oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4m). White solid; 38.2 mg, yield 98%; 92:8 dr, 77% ee; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}^{25} = -18.1$ (c 0.51, CHCl₃); mp 194.8– 196.1 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i -PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: t_{minor} = 8.7 min, t_{major} = 12.7 min); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) (for major diastereomer): δ 1.09 (br s, 3H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 3.84 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 4.47 (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19−5.27 (m, 1H), 5.42 (dd, J = 3.3 Hz, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.64−6.71 (m, 2H), 6.99−7.04 (m, 1H), 7.12−7.19 (m, 2H), 7.35 (d, J $= 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.53 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) for (major diastereomer): δ 14.4, 26.0, 43.4, 60.5, 63.3, 75.6, 108.0, 122.5, 122.6, 126.0, 126.1, 126.7, 129.2, 135.5, 143.4, 154.7, 173.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}N_3NaO_5S$ [M + Na]⁺, 412.0938; found, 412.0923.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-Cyclohexyl-2-nitroethyl)-1-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4n). White solid; 11.7 mg, yield 30%; >99:1 dr (determined by ¹H NMR of chiral compound), 87% ee; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -2.1$ (c 0.38, CHCl₃); mp 179.7–181.2 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 6.7 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 7.2 \text{ min}$); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 0.75−1.27 (m, 11H), 1.47−1.63 (m, 3H), 2.84 (s, 1H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.96 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (dd, J = 4.2 Hz, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 5.53 (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10−7.15 (m, 1H), 7.33−7.38 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 25.6, 26.1, 26.4, 27.8, 32.9, 37.3, 49.6, 61.4, 72.2, 108.2, 122.8, 123.4, 128.9, 129.7, 143.9, 154.5, 175.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{20}H_{27}N_3NaO_5 [M + Na]⁺$, , 412.1843; found, 412.1845.

Ethyl ((R)-1,5-Dimethyl-3-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4o). White solid; 36.2 mg, yield 91%; 86:14 dr, 92% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -38.8$ (c 1.00, CHCl₃); mp 195.3–196.4 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, ethanol/hexane = 10/90, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 15.7 \text{ min}, t_{\text{major}} = 12.9 \text{ min}; \, ^1\text{H} \text{ NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.08−1.15 (m, 3H), 2.32 $(s, 3H)$, 3.00 $(s, 3H)$, 3.96–4.05 (m, 2H), 4.32 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dd, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (br, 1H), 6.39 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.93−6.98 (m, 3H), 7.07−7.14 $(m, 3H)$, 7.27 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 21.2, 26.2, 48.8, 61.6, 63.3, 74.6, 107.8, 124.9, 127.8, 128.0, 128.3, 128.8, 129.8, 132.5, 133.0, 140.5, 154.8, 174.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{21}H_{23}N_3NaO_5$ [M + Na]⁺, 420.1530; found, 420.1540.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(3-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1,5-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4p). Colorless oil; 44.8 mg, yield 94%; 85:15 dr, 75% ee; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -42.8$ (c 0.90, CHCl₃); the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, ethanol/ hexane = $20/80$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 6.4 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{major}} = 5.4 \text{ min}$); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.14−1.18 (m, 3H), 2.33 $(s, 3H)$, 3.00 $(s, 3H)$, 4.00–4.08 (m, 2H), 4.37 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, J = 9.6 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 4.5 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (br, 1H), 6.41 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.98 $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.21 (d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.35 (s, 1\text{H});$ ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 21.2, 26.2, 48.2, 61.7, 63.3, 74.5, 108.0, 122.4, 125.0, 127.8, 130.1, 130.4, 131.1, 131.3, 132.3, 132.8, 140.4, 154.9, 173.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}BrN_3NaO_5 [M + Na]⁺$, 498.0635; found, 498.0630.

Ethyl ((R)-3-((R)-1-(Furan-2-yl)-2-nitroethyl)-1,5-dimethyl-2 oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4q). Colorless oil; 36.8 mg, yield 95%; 93:7 dr, 80% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20} = -8.6$ (c 0.70, CHCl₃); the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/hexane = 85/15, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 12.7 min, $t_{\text{major}} = 15.4 \text{ min}$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 1.13 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 3.93–4.01 (m, 2H), 4.46 (dd, J = 5.7 Hz, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.62−4.73 (m, 2H), 5.81 (br s, 1H), 6.10 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.25–6.26 (m, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.05−7.09 (m, 2H), 7.33 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.2, 21.1, 26.6, 42.7, 61.6, 62.3, 72.9, 108.0, 110.4, 110.6, 125.3, 126.9, 130.2, 132.7, 141.0, 143.2, 147.5, 154.5, 174.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{19}H_{21}N_3NaO_6$ [M + Na]⁺ , 410.1323; found, 410.1321.

Ethyl ((R)-5-Fluoro-1-methyl-3-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-2 oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4r). White solid; 38.9 mg, yield 97%; 94:6 dr, 88% ee; $[a]_{D}^{20}$ = -19.1 (c 0.90, CHCl₃); mp 194.6-195.8 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/ hexane = $30/70$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm, major diastereomer: t_{minor} = 5.4 min, t_{major} = 4.8 min); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 1.17 (br s, 3H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 4.04 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 4.34 (d, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, $J =$ 9.3 Hz, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dd, J = 4.2 Hz, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (br, 1H), 6.38−6.42 (m, 1H), 6.83−6.95 (m, 3H), 7.10−7.12 (m, 3H), 7.30 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 14.3, 26.3, 48.7, 61.8, 63.6, 74.5, 108.6 (d, J = 8.1 Hz 1C), 112.5 (d, J = 25.0 Hz, 1C), 115.8 (d, J = 23.6 Hz, 1C), 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.7, 132.8, 138.9, 154.8, 159.2 (d, J = 240.6 Hz, 1C), 174.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}FN_3N_4O_5$ $[M + Na]^+,$, 424.1279; found, 424.1284.

Ethyl ((R)-1-Ethyl-3-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)carbamate (4s). White solid; 38.2 mg, yield 96%; 92:8 dr (determined by ¹H NMR of chiral compound), 84% ee; $[\alpha]_D^2$ ²⁰ = −42.9 (c 0.90, CHCl₃); mp 165.1−166.2 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 7.5$ min, t_{major} = 9.4 min); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) (for major diastereomer): δ 1.08−1.13 (m, 6H), 3.54−3.68 (m, 2H), 3.95−4.03 $(m, 2H)$, 4.38 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, J = 9.6 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dd, J = 4.5 Hz, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (br s, 1H), 6.54 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.95−6.98 (m, 2H), 7.03−7.17 (m, 5H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) for (major diastereomer): δ 11.9, 14.3, 34.9, 48.6, 61.5, 63.1, 74.6, 108.2, 122.5, 122.7, 124.5, 128.1, 128.2, 129.0, 129.5, 132.8, 142.1, 154.8, 173.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{21}H_{23}N_3NaO_5 [M + Na]^+$, 420.1530; found, 420.1535.

(3R,5′R)-1-Methyl-5′-phenyl-5′,6′-dihydro-1′H-spiro[indoline-3,4′-pyrimidine]-2,2′(3′H)-dione (6). To the solution of 4a (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) and $NiCl₂$ (34 mg, 0.26 mmol) in MeOH (10) mL) was added to NaBH₄ (12 equiv, 12 mg) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and vigorously stirred for 1 h. After the reaction was quenched with a saturated NH₄Cl solution, the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated to furnish the crude product 5 (90 mg). Then the mixture of 5 (90 mg) and a saturated NaOH solution (4 mL) in EtOH (8 mL) was refluxed for 3.5 h. The mixture was added to water (20 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . After being dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , the mixture was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (MeOH/ethyl acetate = 1:20) to give the product **6** as a white solid in 72 mg. 90% yield; 93:7 dr, 91% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$ = +130.2 (c 0.70, EtOH); mp 236.8−237.9 °C; the ee was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, i-PrOH/hexane = 30/70, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm, major diastereomer: $t_{\text{minor}} = 12.5$ min, t_{major} = 8.4 min); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) (for major diastereomer): δ 2.79 (s, 3H), 3.04–3.11 (m, 1H), 3.47 (dd, J = 4.5 Hz, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 7.00−7.09 (m, 4H), 7.12−7.18 (m, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) for (major diastereomer): δ 25.7, 39.8, 45.4, 63.4, 108.1, 122.4, 123.8, 127.4, 127.6, 128.2, 129.1, 129.2, 135.3, 142.8, 155.6, 176.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{18}H_{17}N_3NaO_2$ [M + Na]⁺, , 330.1213; found, 330.1218.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Detailed spectral data for new compounds, X-ray crystal structure and the CIF files of 4m. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yuanwc@cioc.ac.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:yuanwc@cioc.ac.cn)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (2010CB833300), Western Light Talent Culture Project, and Sichuan Youth Science and Technology Foundation.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews, see: (a) Marti, C.; Carreira, E. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 2209. (b) Galliford, C. V.; Scheidt, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8748. (c) Trost, B. M.; Brennan, M. K. Synthesis 2009, 3003. (d) Zhou, F.; Liu, Y.-L.; Zhou, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1381.

(2) For selected examples, see: (a) Takayama, H.; Mori, I.; Kitajima, M. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2945. (b) Ghosh, A. K.; Schiltz, G.; Perali, R. S.; Leshchenko, S.; Kay, S.; Walters, D. E.; Koh, Y.; Maeda, K.; Mitsuya, H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 1869. (c) Vintonyak, V. V.; Warburg, K.; Kruse, H.; Grimme, S.; Hü bel, K.; Rauh, D.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5902. (d) Czarna, A.; Beck, B.; Srivastava, S.; Popowicz, G. M.; Wolf, S.; Huang, Y.; Bista, M.; Holak, T. A.; Dömling, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5352.

(3) For a leading review, see: Chauhan, P.; Chimni, S. S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2013, 24, 343. For selected examples, see: (a) Emura, T.; Esaki, T.; Tachibana, K.; Shimizu, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8559. (b) Zhang, T.; Cheng, L.; Liu, L.; Wang, D.; Chen, Y.-J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 2800. (c) Bergonzini, G.; Melchiorre, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 971. (d) Noole, A.; Järving, I.; Werner, F.; Lopp, M.; Malkov, A.; Kanger, T. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4922. (e) Li, J.; Du, T.; Zhang, G.; Peng, Y. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 1330. (f) Zhou, F.; Zeng, X.-P.; Wang, C.; Zhao, X.-L.; Zhou, J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2022.

(4) For selected examples, see: (a) Liu, Y.-L.; Zhou, F.; Cao, J.-J.; Ji, C.-B.; Ding, M.; Zhou, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3847. (b) Sacchetti, A.; Silvani, A.; Gatti, F. G.; Lesma, G.; Pilati, T.; Trucchi, B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 5512. (c) Yan, W.; Wang, D.; Feng, J.; Li, P.; Zhao, D.; Wang, R. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2512. (d) Guo, Q.-X.; Liu, Y.-W.; Li, X.-C.; Zhong, L.-Z.; Peng, Y.-G. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3589. (e) Feng, J.; Yan, W.; Wang, D.; Li, P.; Sun, Q.; Wang, R. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 8003. (f) Hara, N.; Nakamura, S.; Sano, M.; Tamura, R.; Funahashi, Y.; Shibata, N. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 9276. (g) Chen, D.; Xu, M.-H. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 1327. (h) Liu, Y.-L.; Zhou, J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4421.

(5) For selected examples, see: (a) Qian, Z.-Q.; Zhou, F.; Du, T.-P.; Wang, B.-L.; Ding, M.; Zhao, X.-L.; Zhou, J. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6753. (b) Mouri, S.; Chen, Z.; Mitsunuma, H.; Furutachi, M.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1255. (c) Cheng, L.; Liu, L.; Wang, D.; Chen, Y.-J. Org. Lett. 2010, 11, 3874. (d) Bui, T.; Hernández-Torres, G.; Milite, C.; Barbas, C. F., III. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5696. (e) Yang, Z.; Wang, Z.; Bai, S.; Shen, K.; Chen, D.; Liu, X.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 6632. (f) Zhou, F.; Ding, M.; Liu, Y.-L.; Wang, C.-H.; Ji, C.-B.; Zhang, Y.-Y.; Zhou, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 2945.

(6) For selected examples, see: (a) Shi, F.; Tao, Z.-L.; Luo, S.-W.; Tu, S.-J.; Gong, L.-Z. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 6885. (b) Ren, L.; Lian, X.-L.; Gong, L.-Z. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 3315.

(7) For selected examples, see: (a) Shen, K.; Liu, X.; Wang, G.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4684. (b) Jia, L.-N.; Huang, J.; Peng, L.; Wang, L.-L.; Bai, J.-F.; Tian, F.; He, G.-Y.; Xu, X.- Y.; Wang, L.-X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 236.

(8) For selected examples, see: (a) Duce, S.; Pesciaioli, F.; Gramigna, L.; Bernardi, L.; Mazzanti, A.; Ricci, A.; Bartoli, G.; Bencivenni, G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 860. (b) Zhang, H.; Zhang, S.-J.; Zhou, Q.-Q.;

Dong, L.; Chen, Y.-C. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1241. (c) Tolstoy, P.; Lee, S. X. Y.; Sparr, C.; Ley, S. V. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4810. (d) Cao, Y. M.; Shen, F. F.; Zhang, F. T.; Wang, R. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 1184.

(9) (a) Liao, Y.-H.; Liu, X.-L.; Wu, Z.-J.; Du, X.-L.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.-C. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 6679. (b) Liao, Y.-H.; Wu, Z.-J.; Han, W.-Y.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.-C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 8916. (c) Liu, X.-L.; Han, W.-Y.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.-C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1246. (d) Han, W.-Y.; Li, S.-W.; Wu, Z.-J.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.- C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 5551.

(10) For selected examples about the catalytic asymmetric Michael addition of 3-substituted oxindoles to nitroolefins, see: (a) Bui, T.; Syed, S.; Barbas, C. F., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8758. (b) Kato, Y.; Furutachi, M.; Chen, Z.; Mitsunuma, H.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9168. (c) He, R.; Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16620. (d) Ding, M.; Zhou, F.; Liu, Y.-L.; Wang, C.-H.; Zhao, X.-L.; Zhou, J. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 2035.

(11) For details about the synthesis of 3-monosubstituted 3 aminooxindoles, see Experimental Section.

(12) For details, see Supporting Information.

(13) For selected examples, see: (a) Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Furukawa, T.; Xu, X.; Takemoto, Y. [J. Am. Che](#page-3-0)m. Soc. 2005, 127, 119. (b) Inokuma, T.; Ho[ashi, Y.; Takemoto, Y.](#page-5-0) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9413. (c) Tian, X.; Jiang, K.; Peng, J.; Du, W.; Chen, Y.-C. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3583.